

REMARKS

Claims 1-21 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1-21 are amended. Entry of this Supplemental Amendment is respectfully requested under Rule 111(a)(2)(ii) because the claims are amended as suggested by the Examiner, as discussed below. No new matter is added. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Applicant gratefully appreciates the courtesies extended to Applicant's representative by Examiner Nelson during the March 10 personal interview. The points discussed are incorporated into the following remarks, and constitute Applicant's summary of the interview.

During the personal interview, Applicant's representative asserted that neither Deguchi nor Margulis, alone or in combination, teaches or suggests a display system, method or program including a colored-light information processing means which converts a given color within the visual environment information into a coordinate value within a given color space and obtains a coordinate value forming the complementary color pair with the converted coordinate value based on a coordinate value within color space of the given color within a given reference environment and the converted coordinate value, as set forth in independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 17. However, the Examiner asserted that the phrase "complementary color pair" is unclear. Specifically, the Examiner asserted that the phrase "complementary color pair" could be interpreted as contrasting colors or colors concerning various brightness/intensity levels.

The Examiner indicated that amending independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 17 to include the definition of the "complementary color pair" would likely overcome the rejection based on Deguchi.

While Applicants respectfully disagree that the phrase "complementary color pair" may reasonably be interpreted as asserted by the Examiner, Applicant amends independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 17 to recite "the complementary color pair comprising colors forming gray

when mixed together with the converted coordinate value within the visual environment" as suggested by the Examiner for even better clarity and to expedite prosecution of the application. The amendments are supported by at least specification page 21, lines 11-12.

Claims 1-21 are also amended to clarify the claimed since the amendments features by correcting informalities. Thus, the claims are not narrowed by these amendments merely make explicit that which was implicitly recited by the feature of "complementary color pair". Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-23 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,


James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Holly N. Moore
Registration No. 50,212

JAO:HNM/brc

Date: April 8, 2005

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--